



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,238	10/29/2001	Kazuhiko Honda	101749.56391US	8692

7590 05/23/2006  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
PO Box 14300  
Washington, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER
----------

PHAM, HAI CHI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2861

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HP

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/053,238		HONDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hai C. Pham		2861	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hai C. Pham. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Attorney Stephen Palan. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 18 May 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 21,26,30 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ohashi (JP 2000-169960), Iwasaka (U.S. 6,329,035), Onda (JP 11-110820).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative discusses about amending claim 30 to include a recording layer to differentiate from the visible characteristic changing layer that has a texture roughness. The proposed amendment would overcome the prior art in Ohashi. With regard to claim 21, Applicant's Representative argues that the prior art in Onda teaches away from having the light scattering layer being disposed between the reflection layer and the light characteristic changing layer as claimed. The examiner indicates that he will further analyze the prior art with respect to that teaching .